

QPS Plasma and Coil Optimization

D.A. Spong¹, S.P. Hirshman¹, L.A. Berry¹, D.J. Strickler¹, A.S. Ware²,
G.Y. Fu³, J.F. Lyon¹, R. Sanchez⁴,



¹Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2009, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8073

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Montana, Missoula, MT, 59812 ³Princeton
Plasma Physics Laboratory, P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08502

⁴Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

+ Valuable collaboration with the NCSX project: M. Zarnstorff³, H. Mynick, L.-P. Ku³, et al.

13th INTERNATIONAL STELLARATOR WORKSHOP
Australian National University
Canberra, Australia



OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY





Goals of quasi-poloidally (QP) symmetric stellarators


- Closer \mathbf{B} and $\nabla\mathbf{B}$ alignment than with other forms of symmetry
 - For exact QP symmetry, P_θ is constant of the motion rather than P_ϕ
 - reduces radial drift; banana thickness $\sim \rho_{\text{toroidal}}$ rather than ρ_{poloidal}
- Minimum flow damping in the direction of $\mathbf{E}_r \times \mathbf{B}$
 - Flow shear potentially self-sustained
 - Via internally generated \mathbf{E}_r driven by plasma ambipolar diffusion
- Second stability access and improved omnigenicity at high β
(Next talk by Andrew Ware)
- Trapped particle localization in low curvature regions
 - potential improvements to DTEM (dissipative trapped electron mode) stability [e.g. see A. Kendl, H. Wobig, Plasma Physics 6, 4714 (1999)]

Properties of quasi-poloidally symmetric configurations


- Low aspect ratio: $A \approx 2.7$
 - Have obtained configurations with aspect ratios in the range: $A=2.1-3.0$
- Rotational transform below 0.5: $\iota \sim 0.2 - 0.3$
 - Majority of the transform is from the coils, bootstrap current causes iota to increase
 - Max. Toroidal Current = 40 - 50 kA for $\langle \beta \rangle$ in the 1.5 to 2% range
 - Stable to neoclassical tearing modes



$$N_{fp}\zeta = 0^\circ$$



$$N_{fp}\zeta = 90^\circ$$




$$N_{fp}\zeta = 180^\circ$$

Our current low aspect ratio stellarator optimization capabilities have been built on a series of past accomplishments:

- Identification of appropriate coordinate system where symmetries in $|B|$ improve confinement
 - A. H. Boozer, *Phys. Fluids* **24**, 1999 (1981).
- Rapidly calculated 3D equilibria
 - S. P. Hirshman, J. C. Whitson, *Phys. Fluids* **26**, 3553 (1983).
- Demonstration that numerical optimization of 3D systems can improve equilibrium/transport/stability
 - J. Nührenberg, A. Zille, *Phys. Lett. A* **114**, 129 (1986)
- Methods of numerical coil design to produce such 3D equilibria
 - P. Merkel, *Nuclear Fusion* **27**, 867 (1987)
- Increasing availability of massively parallel (> 1 teraflop) computers and efficient algorithms to utilize them.

Stellarator optimization loop determines outer flux surface shape.



Plasma boundary is characterized by 30-40 Fourier harmonics

¹see poster PII:B.5 by H. Mynick, et al. on differential evolution



Reduced (rapidly evaluated) measures of transport have been used to optimize compact stellarator configurations:

<u>TARGET</u>	<u>IMPROVES:</u>	<u>EXAMPLE</u>	
Bounce-averaged omnigeneity	Collisionless trapped/transitional particle confinement	$J = J(\psi)$ $B_{\min} = B_{\min}(\psi)$ $B_{\max} = B_{\max}(\psi)$	} Currently existing
Nearby quasi-symmetries	Collisionless confinement of all orbit topologies	Minimize B_{mn} if $m \neq 0$ (QP) Or if $n \neq 0$ (QA)	
Collisional transport coefficients	Neoclassical transport	L_{11} coefficient from DKES at $v^* \sim 1$	
Effective ripple ϵ_{eff}	$1/\nu$ neoclassical transport regime	$\epsilon_{\text{eff}}^{3/2}$ from NEO ¹ code	
Large orbit effects	Energetic particle confinement	Reduced Monte Carlo model for alphas	} Future

¹Nemov, V. V., Kernbichler, W., et al., Phys. Plasmas **6**, 4622 (1999) + talk in next session


These transport measures are in addition to a set of stability, configuration and engineering targets:

<u>Targets</u> (Physics/Engineering)	Example
Transport Measures	See previous slide
Current profile	self-consistent I_{BS} , $I(\psi)$ goes to 0 at edge
Limit maximum plasma current	e.g., $I_{max} < 60$ kAmps at $\langle \beta \rangle \sim 2\%$
Iota profile	$i(\psi) = 0.2$ ($\psi=0$) 0.3 ($\psi=1$)
Magnetic Well, Mercier	$V'' < 0$, $D_M > 0$ over cross section
Ballooning stability	$\langle \beta \rangle \sim 2-3\%$
Aspect ratio	$R_0/a \approx 2.5$ to 3.5
NESCOIL targets/feasible coil design	Complexity, B_{err} , Max. current density
Adequate shielding of neutrals	Minimum "waist" thickness
Fit within vacuum bell jar	$R_{max} < 1.5$ meter
Limit outer surface curvature	avoid strong elongation/cusps




We have developed an MPI-based parallel version of the Levenberg-Marquardt optimizer

- Uses a global, coarse-grained parallelization over the 30 - 60 independent variables (i.e., shape and profile coefficients)
 - done over the periodic Jacobian evaluations and in the estimation of the Levenberg parameter
 - this simplifies the development of modules used to calculate the target functions (they are left as serial tasks)
- A bank-queuing algorithm is used to parcel out the computational tasks to the processors
 - this accommodates for the fact that they are generally of unequal computational length (e.g., VMEC may converge more rapidly for some shapes than others)




Parallelization has made our stellarator optimization significantly faster.

- Allows more physics targets to be included.
- Parallel speedup saturates
 - as processors $\geq (0.5 \text{ to } 1) \times (\# \text{ of independent variables})$
- # of parallel tasks = # of independent variables + 1
- Communication overhead




Reduction in target functions with iterations

Individual targets




Overall χ^2




Our optimizations have resulted in increased poloidal symmetry from the initial QPS-IAEA-2000 device


(shown here as the ratio of the magnetic energy in the non-poloidally symmetric modes to that in the poloidally symmetric modes)




$$\sqrt{\psi/\psi_{edge}} = 0.3$$



$$\sqrt{\psi/\psi_{edge}} = 0.5$$





$$\sqrt{\psi/\psi_{edge}} = 0.7$$






DKES L_{11} transport coefficients for $E_r = 0.0$ show similar trends at low collisionality as the NEO¹ $\epsilon_{\text{eff}}^{3/2}$ coefficient

¹Nemov, V. V., Kernbichler, W., et al., Phys. Plasmas **6**, 4622 (1999).




QPS configurations have second stability regimes

- Stellarator iota profiles: some bootstrap suppression required
- Tokamak iota profiles: bootstrap current can be self-consistent



Coils to produce the physics optimized shape are “reverse-engineered”:

Coil Design Process



Vary “L” until $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{J}_{Coils} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n}(Coils) \approx -\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n}(Plasma)$

Coil design uses NESCOIL targets in physics optimization \Rightarrow COILOPT to synthesize discrete coils

STELLOPT Physics optimization **COILOPT** varies coils on winding surface to minimize B_{\perp}

\Rightarrow uses NESCOIL current sheet

- minimize coil complexity, current density, current density curvature and B_{\perp}



- incorporates modular, saddle, helical, toroidal, and vertical coil options
- variable winding surface shape
- engineering penalty targets: coil-coil and coil-plasma separation, coil current density and coil curvature


Merged **STELLOPT/COILOPT**

- Direct variation of coil geometry to minimize physics targets
- Can find neighboring equilibria
 - With similar physics, but coils that are easier to build
 - Smoother flux surfaces than those reconstructed from original coils


QPS Coil Design Choices

- For QPS we have found the following choices to work well:
 - 8 coils per field period (center two are split coils)
 - no coils on the symmetry planes
 - uniform modular coil currents
 - a pair of vertical field coils with fixed position and variable current
 - inclusion of a small background toroidal field (TF) $\propto 1/R$
 - works best when TF field is in opposite direction to that produced by the modular coils
 - this increases modular coil currents, but reduces their toroidal variation -> improves coil-coil separation
- This has resulted in coil sets with $\langle \delta B_{\text{normal}} \rangle \sim 0.8\%$ that
 - provide good flux surface reconstruction
 - preserve physics properties of the original fixed boundary optimization

Views of the latest QPS configuration with modular filamentary coils




$|B|$ in Tesla




These coils preserve the flux surface shape between fixed and free boundary equilibrium solutions:

QPS_{1108a4} fixed boundary


QPS_{1108a4} free boundary



Flexibility is provided in QPS by 3 main coilsets.
By changing these coil currents, different configurations are possible.




$|B|$ for inward shift
($I_{VF} = -30\text{kA}$)




$|B|$ for outward shift
($I_{VF} = +50\text{kA}$)

Transport properties can be influenced either by varying the vertical or toroidal fields.

Vertical field variation




Toroidal field variation




Ballooning stability can also be influenced through vertical or toroidal field variation.

Vertical field variation



Toroidal field variation



Conclusions

- A systematic plasma optimization and modular coil synthesis procedure have been developed and used to design compact stellarators with Quasi-Poloidal symmetry (QPS)
 - both plasma and coil optimization codes take good advantage of parallel computing platforms and allow new targets to be easily incorporated
- This has led to the QPS device
 - $A = 2.7$, $iota = 0.2$ to 0.3
 - neoclassical transport subdominant to ISS95 (by a factor of 2 - 8)
 - first stability limits around $\langle\beta\rangle = 2\%$, second stability up to $\langle\beta\rangle = 15\%$
 - Modular coils have been developed that have good engineering feasibility, flux surface reconstruction, and preserve physics properties
- VF and TF coils provide flexibility to test transport/stability

Future Optimization Projects

- Although the QPS design is gradually becoming fixed, there will be further needs for optimization:
 - Adjustment of coil currents: modular(4), vertical(6), toroidal (12)
 - Location of magnetic loops and interpretation
 - Future devices
- Future target development
 - Monte Carlo fast ion confinement - differential evolution algorithm¹
 - Poloidal viscosity minimization
 - Alfvén mode suppression (see Poster PI:25) --> would like AE continua to be vertical rather than horizontal --> maximize $|d\omega_{AE}/d\psi|$
- Computational improvements
 - Want to prepare for “fatter node” SMP (Symmetric Multi Processor) computers
 - Be able to use O(100) -> O(1000) processors
 - Requires two-level parallelism
 - OpenMP within individual functions (e.g. parallelize over flux surface loops)
 - MPI inter-node communication, one function evaluation per node

¹see poster PII:B.5 by H. Mynick, et al. on differential evolution